

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/530,927

Applicant(s)

HORII ET AL.

Examiner

NINOS DONABED

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NINOS DONABED.(3) Mark Pratt (Reg # 45794).(2) Yemane Mesfin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: ALL.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takahashi (U.S. Patent No. 5,887,193).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Attorney briefly explained the invention to the examiners. He also pointed out what believed distinguished the claimed invention from the prior art. Examiners suggesting amending the claims in order to further the prosecution of the case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ninos Donabed/
Examiner, Art Unit 2144